Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

Directorate: Environment and



Service area: Parks and Countryside

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Neighbourhoods	_				
Lead person: Mike Kinnaird	Contact number: 57459				
1. Title: Deputation To Council – Wyke Beck Valley Community Forum Regarding The Post Of Wyke Beck Valley Ranger And 5 Local Nature Reserves In Wyke Beck Valley					
Is this a:					
Strategy / Policy Servi	ce / Function x Other				
If other, please specify					
2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening					
The matters arising from the deputation to Beck Valley Community Forum to conside Valley Ranger and the potential to designation Nature Reserves.	continuing the role of the Wyke Beck				

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		Х
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		Х
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?	Х	
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
 Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment Advancing equality of opportunity Fostering good relations 	х	

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The report is a response to a deputation and notes the success of the Wyke Beck Valley Ranger in contributing to the Access to Nature project. The report does not make a commitment on continuing employment of the ranger, but does identify funding sources and states that an application has been made for funding which would enable provision of the ranger to continue. The proposal at this stage is to seek funding and therefore equality issues will be considered should the funding be secured.

With regard to decision making in the report, there is a recommendation to further consider designating 3 sites as Local Nature Reserves and further examine options on a further 2 sites. Should this progress further, then equality issues will be considered at the point a decision to designate is made. It should be noted that this designation affords a legal status to the land but will not change existing usage, access or resource requirements.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

The proposal will sustain current access to recreation/conservation land and allow people from all sectors of the community to integrate and enjoy the benefits that these sites provide.

	ons

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

None identified.

5. If you are not already cointegration you will need to					
Date to scope and plan your	impact assessment:				
Date to complete your impact assessment					
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)					
6 Covernance ownership	and approval				
6. Governance, ownership Please state here who has a		OL IT	comes of the screening		
Name	Job title	Out	Date		
Mike Kinnaird	Development Manager		13/5/13		
WINC MITHAIL	Development Manager		10/0/10		
7. Publishing This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.					
If this screening relates to a Key Delegated Decision , Executive Board , full Council or a Significant Operational Decision a copy should be emailed to Corporate Governance and will be published along with the relevant report.					
A copy of all other screening's should be sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk . For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file (but not published).					
Date screening completed		13	/5/13		
If relates to a Key Decision -	date sent to				
Corporate Governance					
Any other decision – date se					
(equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk)					